

## FITTING OF POTENTIAL ENERGY SURFACES OF WATER ISOTOPOMERS

S. V. SHIRIN

Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences,  
Uljanov Street 46, Nizhnii Novgorod, Russia 603950

A potential energy surfaces for some major isotopomers of water were constructed by fitting to observed vibration-rotation energy levels of the system using the exact kinetic energy operator nuclear motion program DVR3D [1]. The starting point for the fit is the *ab initio* Born-Oppenheimer surface and corrections to it: both one- and two-electron relativistic effects, allowance for the Lamb shift and the inclusion of both adiabatic and non-adiabatic non-Born-Oppenheimer corrections [2]. Fits are made by scaling the starting potential by a morphing function, the parameters of which are optimized [3].

Three separate fits were made. *Ab initio* Born-Oppenheimer surface and corrections to it were optimized using experimental data for  $\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $\text{H}_2^{17}\text{O}$  and  $\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$  molecules together.

Fit 1 included all data for states with  $J=0$ ,  $J=2$  and  $J=5$ . This constituted 1788 energy levels. From these about 60 were excluded on the grounds that they had an unacceptably large error, in some cases over  $1\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This fit reproduced the data with  $\sigma = 0.071\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Fit 2 augmented the data used in Fit 1 with  $J=10$  rotational levels. For calculations with  $J>0$  in this fit non-adiabatic effects were used. The best fit was obtained by taking 18% of the values given by Schwenke [4]. Use of the  $J=10$  levels added another 350 levels to the dataset used for Fit 1 and worsened  $\sigma$  slightly to  $0.077\text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

Fit 3 started the data used in Fit 1. From this we excluded all levels which had a residual larger than  $0.07\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . A new fit was performed and excluded levels which were accurately reproduced were re-introduced into the fit. This process was repeated with expanded dataset until no further levels could be included without significantly degrading the fit. This fit has a significantly lower  $\sigma$  of  $0.028\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for a dataset of just 1004 levels. This dataset comprises about two-thirds of all the possible levels and spans the entire energy range, up to  $25000\text{ cm}^{-1}$  above the ground state.

[1]. J. Tennyson, M.A. Kostin, P. Barletta, G.J. Harris, J. Ramanlal, O.L. Polyansky and N.F. Zobov, *Computer Phys. Comm.*, 2004

[2]. O.L. Polyansky, A.G. Csaszar, S.V. Shirin, N.F. Zobov, P. Barletta, J. Tennyson, D.W. Schwenke and P.J. Knowles, *Science*, 299, 539-542, 2003.

[3]. S.V. Shirin, O.L. Polyansky, N.F. Zobov, P. Barletta and J. Tennyson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 118, 2124-2129, 2003.

[4]. D.W. Schwenke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 118, 6898-6904, 2003.